

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,008	03/15/2004	Noriya Hayashi	080542-0166	6818
22428 7	590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			GORR, RACHEL F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1711	
		DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Ameliaantia				
	·	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/800,008	HAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	nril 2006					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 440(a)	(4) == (5)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and the second second second for a not of the obtained copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F	OTO 442)				
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o				
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/800,008

Art Unit: 1711

Page 2

1. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 14-16 are confusing because they are directed to shape memory polymer compositions, but depend from reinforced plastic and production process claims.

In claim 14, it's not clear what RTM means.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heiss (4,129,611).
- 4. In example H-14, Heiss discloses a polymer comprising a diol of molecular weight 116 and a diisocyanate. This example differs from the composition of the claims by not using a polypropylene glycol and by using a solvent.
- 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to exclude solvent from the composition because Heiss teaches that a melt process can be used equivalently to a solution process (col. 9, lines 35-36). It would have been obvious to use polypropylene glycol having a molecular weight up to 200 (col. 7, lines 56-57)) because Heiss discloses these as equivalent to cyclohexane

Application/Control Number: 10/800,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

diol. The properties would be inherent because the polymers are the same as the invention.

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER